



System for
Automated
Geoscientific
Analyses (SAGA) v.
2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad¹, B. Bechtel¹, M. Bock^{1,2}, H. Dietrich¹, E. Fischer¹, L. Gerlitz¹, J. Wehberg¹, V. Wichmann^{3,4}, and J. Böhner¹

¹Institute of Geography, University of Hamburg, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

²scilands GmbH, Goetheallee 11, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

³LASERDATA GmbH, Technikerstr. 21a, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

⁴alpS – Center for Climate Change Adaptation, Grabenweg 68, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Received: 12 December 2014 – Accepted: 19 January 2015 – Published: 27 February 2015

Correspondence to: B. Bechtel (benjamin.bechteler@uni-hamburg.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

The System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) is an open-source Geographic Information System (GIS), mainly licensed under the GNU General Public License. Since its first release in 2004, SAGA has rapidly developed from a specialized tool for digital terrain analysis to a comprehensive and globally established GIS platform for scientific analysis and modeling. SAGA is coded in C++ in an object oriented design and runs under several operating systems including Windows and Linux. Key functional features of the modular organized software architecture comprise an application programming interface for the development and implementation of new geoscientific methods, an easily approachable graphical user interface with many visualization options, a command line interpreter, and interfaces to scripting and low level programming languages like R and Python. The current version 2.1.4 offers more than 700 tools, which are implemented in dynamically loadable libraries or shared objects and represent the broad scopes of SAGA in numerous fields of geoscientific endeavor and beyond. In this paper, we inform about the system's architecture, functionality, and its current state of development and implementation. Further, we highlight the wide spectrum of scientific applications of SAGA in a review of published studies with special emphasis on the core application areas digital terrain analysis, geomorphology, soil science, climatology and meteorology, as well as remote sensing.

1 Introduction

The System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) (<http://saga-gis.org>) has been designed for an easy and effective implementation of spatial algorithms and hence serves as a framework for the development and implementation of geoscientific methods and models (Conrad, 2007). Today, this modular organized programmable Geographic Information System (GIS) software offers more than 700 methods comprising the entire spectrum of contemporary GIS from multiple file operations, refer-

GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



encing and projection routines over a range of topological and geometric analysis of both, raster and vector data, up to comprehensive modeling applications for various geoscientific fields. The idea for the development of SAGA evolved in the late 1990s during the work on several research and development projects at the Dept. for Physical Geography, Göttingen, carried out in behalf of federal and state environmental authorities. In view of the specific needs for high quality and spatially explicit environmental information of the cooperating agencies, the original research focus was the analysis of raster data, particularly of Digital Elevation Models (DEM), which have been used e.g. to predict soil properties, terrain controlled process dynamics as well as climate parameters at high spatial resolution. The development and implementation of apparently new methods for spatial analysis and modeling resulted in the design of three applications for digital terrain analysis, namely SARA (System zur Automatischen Reliefanalyse), SADO (System für Automatische Diskretisierung von Oberflächen) and DiGeM (Programm für Digitale Gelände-Modellierung), each with specific skills but distinctly different architectures. Due to the heterogeneity of the applied operating systems and tools in the working group a cross operating system platform with integrated support for geodata analysis seemed necessary for further development and implementation of geoscientific methods. Due to the lack of a satisfying development platform at that time, SAGA has been created as a common developer basis and was first published as Free Open Source Software in 2004 in order to share its advantageous capabilities with geoscientists worldwide. Since then SAGA got a growing global user community (Fig. 1), which also led to many contributions from outside the developer core team and moreover fostered the foundation of the SAGA User Group Association in 2005, aiming to support a sustainable long-term development covering the whole range of user interests. Since 2007 the core development group of SAGA is situated at the University of Hamburg, coordinating and actively driving the development process.

The momentum and dynamics of the SAGA development in the past 10 years is mirrored in both, the increasing number of methods and tools (Fig. 2) which raised from 119 modules in 2005 (version 1.2) up to more than 700 modules in the present

GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Although many details changed since its first version, the general system architecture remained the same. The following discussion refers to the most recent SAGA version 2.1.4.

The system is programmed in the widespread and effective C++ language (Stroustrup, 2014). Besides its support for object oriented programming, one of its advantages is the availability of numerous additional GPL libraries and code snippets. Apart from the C++ standard library SAGA's core system solely depends on the cross platform GUI library wxWidgets (Smart et al., 2005). Especially the GUI extensively accesses the classes and functions of wxWidgets, but also the API employs the library, amongst others for string manipulation, platform independent file access, dynamic library management and XML (eXtensible Markup Language) formatted input and output. Several SAGA tool libraries link to other third party libraries of which some are discussed later more explicitly. Due to the implementation of the wxWidgets library, SAGA compiles and runs on MS Windows and most Unix like operating systems including FreeBSD and with some limitations regarding the GUI MacOSX. Makefiles and projects are provided for gcc and VisualC++ compilers with support for parallel processing based on the OpenMP library (<http://openmp.org>).

2.1 Application programming interface

The main purposes of SAGA's API are the provision of data structures, particularly for geodata handling, and the definition of tool interfaces. Central instances to store and request any data and tools loaded by the system are the Data Manager and the Tool Manager.

Besides these core components, the API offers various additional classes and functions related to geodata management and analysis as well as general computational tasks, comprising tools for memory allocation, string manipulation, file access, formula parsing, index creation, vector algebra and matrix operations, and geometric and statistical analysis. In order to support tool developers, an API-documentation is generated

by means of the Doxygen help file generator (<http://www.doxygen.org>) and published at the SAGA homepage (http://www.saga-gis.org/saga_api_doc/html).

All classes related to geodata share a common base class that provides general information and functionality such as the data set name, the associated file path, and other specific meta data (Fig. 4). The supported data types currently comprise raster (grids) and tables with or without a geometry attribute, e.g. vector data representing either point, multipoint, polyline or polygon geometries (shapes). Specific vector data structures are provided by point cloud and TIN classes. The point cloud class is a container for storing mass point data as generated for instance by LiDAR scans. The TIN class creates a Triangular Irregular Network for a given set of points providing topological information concerning point neighborhoods. Each data type supports a generic built-in file format. Raster data use a SAGA specific binary format with an accompanying header. Table data use either tabbed text, comma separated values, or the DBase format. The latter is also applied for storing vector data attributes with the ESRI shapefile format. In order to enhance read and write performance, point clouds also employ a SAGA specific binary file format. Besides, each stored data file is accompanied by a meta data file providing additional information such as map projection and original data source. Additionally, the meta data contain a data set history, which assembles information about all tools and settings that have been involved to create the data set.

SAGA tools are implemented in dynamically loadable libraries or shared objects, thus supporting the concept of modular plug-ins. Each SAGA tool is derived from a tool base class, which is specified in the API and defines the standard interface and functionality. In this class, the tool specific input and output data of various data types as well as tool options are declared in a parameter list. At least two functions of the base class have to be implemented by each tool. The constructor defines the tool's interface with its name, a description about its usage and methodology, and the list of tool specific parameters. Its parameter list is automatically evaluated by the system's frame work prior to the execution of a tool. The execution itself is started by a call to the second compulsory function, which implements the tool's functionality.

GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Specialized variants of the tool base class are available for enhanced processing of single raster systems or for interaction of the tool with the GUI (i.e. to response to mouse click in a map at a certain position). The API uses a callback system to support communication with the front end, e.g. giving a message of progress, error notification, or to force immediate update of a data set's graphical representation. The tool manager loads the DLLs and makes them accessible for the front ends. The tool manager also facilitates the call of existing tools, e.g. to run a tool out of another one. The GUI uses this feature e.g. to read data file formats that are not generically supported by SAGA, for projecting geographic coordinate grids to be displayed in a map view, and to access and manipulate data through a data base management system. Furthermore, it is a core mechanism for the tool chain class. Tool chains are defined in a simple XML based code that is interpreted by the tool chain class. This code has two major sections. The first part comprises the definition of the tool interface, e.g. the tool's name, description and a list of input, output and optional parameters. The second is a listing of the tools in the desired execution order. Tool chains are an efficient way to create new tools based on existing ones and perform exactly like hard coded tools. Since it is possible to create a tool chain directly from a data set history, a complex workflow can be developed interactively and then be automated for the analysis of further data sets.

2.2 General purpose tools

Besides more specific geoscientific methods, SAGA provides a wide range of general purpose tools. Since SAGA has a limited generic support for data file formats, the group of data import and export tools is an important feature to read and write data from various sources and store them to specific file formats supported by other software. Within this group a toolset interfacing the Geospatial Data Abstraction Library (GDAL) should be highlighted (<http://www.gdal.org>). The GDAL itself provides drivers for more than 200 different raster and vector formats, and therefore the SAGA API's data manager automatically loads unknown file formats through the GDAL by default.

5 a separate control. While the number and type of properties depend on the respective element, a group of settings and a description are common to all managed elements. In case of a tool for instance, the settings give control to input and output data selection as well as to further tool specific options, while in case of a data set it provides several options for visualization in maps. Maps are the standard way of geodata display and offer various additional features, including scale bars, graticules, printing, and clipboard copying. Supplementary data visualization tools comprise histograms, charts, scatter plots, and 3-D views. Tools can be executed either from the tools manager or through the main menu's geoprocessing subgroup, where by default all tools can be found following submenu categories. Due to the large number of tools a find and run command is a supplementary option to conveniently access all tools. Summarizing, the GUI is a good choice for interactive work on a single data selection with immediate visualization. However, if complex work flows are applied repeatedly to numerous data sets, alternative front ends with scripting support are certainly more suitable.

15 2.4 Scripting

The SAGA command line interpreter (CLI) is used to execute SAGA tools from a command line environment without any visualization or data management facilities. Therefore, the file paths for all input and output data have to be specified within the command. The CLI enables the creation of batch or shell script files with subsequent calls of SAGA tools to automate complex work flows and automatically apply them to similar data sets. Furthermore, the CLI allows calling SAGA tools from external programs in an easy way. This feature is used by the RSAGA package, which integrates SAGA tools with the R scripting environment (Brenning, 2008). Likewise, the Sistema EXTremeño de ANálisis TERRitorial (SEXTANTE) makes SAGA tools accessible for various Java based GIS programs (gvSIG, OpenJUMP). In 2013 SEXTANTE has been ported to Python to become a functional addition to QGIS (QGIS Development Team, 2014), another popular free and open source GIS software, thus spreading SAGA tools amongst many more GIS users. Alternatively to CLI based scripting, the SAGA API can also be accessed

GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



directly from Python. This connection is generated by means of the Simplified Wrapper and Interface Generator SWIG (<http://www.swig.org>) and provides access to almost the complete API. While this allows higher level scripting, the CLI remains easier to use for most purposes.

3 Review of SAGA related studies and applications

Due to its plethora of modules, covering a broad spectrum of geoscientific analysis and modeling applications and its user friendly environment, SAGA has been increasingly utilized for the processing of geodata, the implementation and calibration of statistical and process based models in various fields, and the visualization of results. The following chapter provides an overview of studies using SAGA in selected geoscientific fields, which were identified as major applications of the software. However, due to the vast number of studies this chapter only gives an outline without any claim to comprehensiveness.

3.1 Digital terrain analysis and geomorphology

SAGA is a successor of three applications that were designed for digital terrain analysis, namely SARA, SADO, and DiGeM and up to today, the analysis of DEMs remained a major focus. SAGA provides a comprehensive set of tools ranging from the preprocessing of DEMs (e.g. filtering and filling procedures) through the generation of simple first and second order terrain derivatives, such as slope and curvature, to more sophisticated and process oriented terrain parameters, e.g. the altitude above the channel network, the relative slope position or the SAGA-wetness index. The strong focus of SAGA in this particular field is distinctly reflected by its frequent utilization. This section gives a brief overview of available methods, applications, and studies with a special focus on the preprocessing of raw data, the derivation of terrain based predictor variables for statistical modeling approaches, the classification of distinct geomorpho-

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



graphic units and the implementation of suitable tools for specific investigations. For further information on principles and applications in terrain analysis including some of the methods that are implemented in SAGA, we refer to Wilson and Gallant (2000). Olaya and Conrad (2009) provided an introduction to geomorphometry in SAGA.

3.1.1 Preprocessing of raster data

Filtering of bare ground from radar interferometry or laser scanning datasets is a prerequisite for many applications. In order to make these datasets applicable for geomorphic and hydrologic analyses, SAGA for instance offers tools to reduce elevation of forest canopies in radar based DEMs (SRTM) and to identify and eliminate man-made terrain features in laserscanning based datasets (Köthe and Bock, 2009). Wichmann et al. (2008) created Digital Terrain Models (DTM) from airborne LiDAR data in different grid-cell sizes and investigated the effect on the simulation results of a debris flow model. DTM preparation included several processing steps such as morphological filtering and surface depression filling. The implementation of the debris flow model used in this study was described in Wichmann and Becht (2005) and Wichmann (2006). Peters-Walker et al. (2012) used SAGA and the Laserdata Information System, a software extending SAGA's point cloud data management and analysis capabilities (Petrini-Montferri et al., 2009; Rieg et al., 2014), to derive a high-resolution DTM from LiDAR data. SAGA was subsequently applied to prepare all relevant catchment and channel network information to finally model discharge and bedload transport with the hydrologic model SimAlp/HQsim. In order to investigate climate and glacier changes from DEM and imagery data, Bolch (2006) and Bolch and Kamp (2006) proposed methods on glacier mapping from SRTM, ASTER and LANDSAT data. SAGA was used for DEM pre-processing, including import, projection and merging of data, as well as gap filling, curvature calculation and cluster analysis. Sediment transport in a proglacial river was investigated by Morche et al. (2012). The authors measured suspended sediment load and bed load along the river and quantified surface changes of sediment sources by comparison of multi-temporal terrestrial and airborne laser scanning data.

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

LiDAR data, both airborne and terrestrial, were investigated by Haas et al. (2012) to quantify and analyze a rockfall event in the Western Dolomites. Volume, axial ratio and run-out length of single boulders were derived from the point clouds and statistically analyzed. Furthermore, the surface roughness in the run-out zone of the rockfall was estimated based on point cloud data. The authors also proposed approaches on how to use the derived surface roughness with a rockfall simulation model and compared the simulation results for different rock radii and both airborne and terrestrial laser scanning derived surface roughness datasets.

3.1.2 Using terrain analysis for the derivation of predictor variables

Assuming that topographic characteristics are important drivers of various regional and local scale geodynamic processes, derivational terrain parameters are frequently utilized as predictor variables in statistical modeling applications. The close cooperation of the SAGA developer team with varying research projects resulted in the implementation of distinct terrain parameters, particularly suitable for specific investigations.

Targeting on the derivation of a spatial map of landslide risk, Varga et al. (2006) used a certainty-factor analysis including datasets of slope, curvature, land use, geology and primary dipping. Mantovani et al. (2010) proposed a new approach for landslide geomorphological mapping, using SAGA for tasks like DTM interpolation, slope and aspect calculations and the delineation of watersheds and stream network. Heckmann et al. (2005) and Heckmann (2006) investigated the sediment transport by avalanches in alpine catchments. Besides quantitative field measurements, SAGA was utilized to implement a spatial model of geomorphic avalanche activity. Potential initiation sites were delineated with a Certainty-Factor model (Heckmann and Becht, 2006). Process pathways were modelled by a random walk model, while run-out distance was calculated with a 2-parameter friction model. The simulation results (flow height, flow velocity and slope) and field measurements were finally used in a discriminant analysis to establish an empirical relationship. As an example of statistical geocomputing combining R and SAGA in the RSAGA package, Brenning (2008) presented a landslide suscepti-

bility analysis with generalized additive models. It was shown that several local as well as catchment-related morphometric attributes are important, mostly non-linear, predictors of landslide occurrence. In a later study (Muenchow et al., 2012), RSAGA was employed to estimate geomorphic process rates of landslides along a humidity gradient in the tropical Andes.

3.1.3 Terrain classification

The usage of terrain (or landform) units allows providing soil scientists with conceptual spatial entities that are useful for mapping. The border lines of landform units highlight changing landform conditions that are frequently used to explain changing soil conditions. The classification concept of geomorphographic maps (Köthe et al., 1996) utilized DTM derivatives generated with SAGA. Locally adjusted thresholds of terrain parameters such as the SAGA wetness index, altitude above channel network, slope, relative slope position and terrain classification index for lowlands (Bock et al., 2007) divide a DTM in main classes along a gradient from the relative bottom (bottom areas mostly corresponding with valley floors) to the relative top (summit areas corresponding with crests, peaks and ridges) of the terrain, with slopes and terraces as intermediate classes. This semi-automated terrain-based landscape structure classification is also useful for the analysis of physical and ecological settings. Wehberg et al. (2013) derived geomorphographic units (GMUs) as discrete terrain entities on the basis of a SRTM digital elevation model with SAGA based terrain analysis. It was found that the GMUs reproduced the physiogeographic settings of the Okavango catchment appropriately and provided a basis for further mappings of vegetation or soil data. Brenning et al. (2012) investigated the detection of rock glacier flow structures by Gabor filters and IKONOS imagery. The authors used SAGA to calculate morphometric features like local slope, upslope contributing area, catchment slope, and catchment height. Also the all-year potential incoming solar radiation was computed. These terrain attributes were then used in combination with texture attributes for classification.

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



3.1.4 Implementation of specific tools

The open source and modular architecture of SAGA easily enables the integration new tools, if requested already available modules can be integrated. Thus several working groups utilize SAGA as a framework for specific analyses and modeling applications.

Grabs et al. (2010) proposed a new algorithm to compute side-separated contributions along stream networks for the differentiation of the riparian zone and adjacent upland lateral contributions on each side of a stream. They implemented a new method SIDE (Stream Index Division Equations) in SAGA, which determines the orientation of flow lines relative to the stream flow direction and allows distinguishing between stream left and right sides. Haas (2008) and Haas et al. (2011) used a rule-based statistical model for the estimation of fluvial sediment transport rates from hillslopes and small hillslope channels. They introduced the concept of a “sediment contributing area”, derived by terrain analysis, and implemented the algorithm in SAGA. The index was finally used in a regression model to derive sediment transport rates. The same model was applied in a later study concerning the impact of forest fires on geomorphic processes (Sass et al., 2012). Studying alpine sediment cascades, Wichmann and Becht (2005) and Wichmann (2006) implemented a rockfall model in SAGA. The model can be used to delineate the process area of rockfalls and for geomorphic process and natural hazard zonation by combining a random walk path finding algorithm with several friction models. Wichmann and Becht (2006) reviewed several rockfall models, implemented in SAGA. Three different methods for run-out distance calculation, an empirical model and two process-based models were compared in greater detail regarding their applicability for natural hazard zonation and the analysis of geomorphic activity. Fey et al. (2011) applied an empirical rockfall model (including the modeling of process pathway and run-out distance) for the back calculations of medium-scale rockfalls. Heckmann et al. (2012) integrated a modification of the rockfall model by Wichmann (2006) in order to re-calculate a rockfall event. Wichmann and Becht (2004) described the development of a model for torrent bed type debris flow, including the

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

delineation of debris flow initiation sites, process pathway, as well as erosion and deposition zones. Potential process initiation sites were derived from channel slope, upslope contributing area, and the sediment contributing area. Pathway and run-out distance were modeled by combining a grid-based random walk model with a 2-parameter friction model. Zones of erosion and deposition were derived by threshold functions of channel gradient and modeled velocity. The model was validated with field measurements after a high magnitude rainstorm event. Recently, in an analytical study of the susceptibility of geological discontinuities for gravitational mass movements, Jansen (2014) applied geological engineering methods implemented in SAGA. The existing methodology (Günther, 2003; Günther et al., 2004) was thereby enhanced by interpolation routines available in SAGA which resulted in an increase of plausibility of the results.

3.2 Digital soil mapping

Digital soil mapping is one of the major applications in SAGA, which still reflects the initial focus of the software on developing terrain analysis methods for soil science. Due to its tool diversity, SAGA became a standard software package in the field, which is underlined by citations in relevant reviews and textbooks (Behrens and Scholten, 2006; Boettinger, 2010; Hartemink et al., 2008; Hengl and Reuter, 2009; Lal and Stewart, 2014).

According to McBratney et al. (2003) digital soil mapping generally is understood as a collection of methods for the estimation of spatial information on soils. These estimates can comprise specific soil properties (continuous data), entire soil types respectively soil associations (classified data), or the susceptibility of soil against certain soil threats. Based on existing point measurements and/or spatial data from other origin, so called predictor variables (sometimes referred to as covariates), digital soil mapping techniques can be applied in order to generate extensive soil information. The most important SAGA-specific predictor in the field of digital soil mapping is the SAGA wetness

index (Böhner et al., 2002), which is derived from a DTM and reflects the theoretical distribution of lateral water accumulation.

Several studies determine a correlation between one or more soil properties and spatial predictor variables. Russ and Riek (2011) derived groundwater depths from SAGA covariates with the help of pedo-transfer functions. Other authors used statistical methods to model soil parameters, e.g. to apply a multiple regression model for the derivation of groundwater depths (Bock and Köthe, 2008). A more sophisticated regression model was developed by Kühn et al. (2009), who explained the spatial distribution of several soil parameters, such as soil organic carbon or carbonate content, by means of interpolated apparent electrical conductivity data and DEM covariates.

A number of studies refer to SAGA implemented geostatistical methods in order to derive soil parameters. Böhner and Köthe (2003) combined geostatistical regionalization (Kriging) of grain size fractions with pedo-transfer functions to develop a whole set of physical soil properties. Schauppenlehner (2008) and Lado et al. (2008) used regression Kriging to estimate the distribution of heavy metals in surface soils at European scale. Schauppenlehner (2008) compared different geostatistical methods for spatial estimation of soil quality values (Ackerzahl) while Kidd and Viscarra Rossel (2011) tested numerous derivatives from DEM and remote sensing data as covariates for the geostatistical modeling of soil properties. Further, SAGA based predictor variables can be used to directly model the distribution of classified data of soil types or soil associations by (external) machine learning algorithms such as Random Forest (Roecker et al., 2010) or Classification Tree (Willer et al., 2009). Besides, SAGA offers internal classification routines, such as the statistical cluster analysis, which was applied to combine DEM covariates to serve as a conceptual soil map (Bock et al., 2007).

Extensive work has been done to model the spatial distribution of soil threats. In addition to the mentioned study on heavy metal distribution in European soils (Lado et al., 2008), several studies estimated soil degradation caused by erosion using SAGA routines. Besides the attempt to record existing soil degradation from erosion with the help

**System for
Automated
Geoscientific
Analyses (SAGA) v.
2.1.4**

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

of covariates (Milevski, 2008; Milevski et al., 2007), a particular focus is the evaluation of soil erosion risk using the SAGA revised slope length factor (Böhner and Selige, 2006) based on the empirical universal soil loss equation (Wischmeier and Smith, 1978) Patriche et al. (2012) and Enea et al. (2012) are further examples for studies dealing with this issue. Recently, SAGA was extended by a process-based soil erosion model (Setiawan, 2012). The model WEELS allows wind erosion modeling based on SAGA routines (Böhner et al., 2002). The vulnerability towards landslides was modelled using a combination of SAGA and the statistical package R (Brenning, 2008; Goetz et al., 2011).

The SAGA landscape evolution model (SALEM) is rather between the disciplines of Geomorphology and Soil Sciences. The SALEM (Bock et al., 2012) was designed for simulating processes that comprise the *critical zone* (National Research Council, 2001) and depicts the landscape elements in a process-oriented and time-dynamical way.

3.3 Climatology and meteorology

Historically, climatology and meteorology are probably the natural science disciplines where most of the epistemological progress was based on the spatially explicit analysis of local observations. Indeed, the ingenious inventions of climate measuring instruments since the late 16th century by Galileo Galilei, Evangelista Torricelli, Otto von Guericke, and others would have rarely contributed to this enormous scientific progress since the late 18th century, if instrumental observations had not been taken from different locations, enabling a “synoptic” analysis of spatial climate variations. Today, core functionalities of contemporary GIS, e.g. a range of basic spatial analysis routines are well suited for this systematic examination of climate variations over space, typically applied to infer spatially continuous (gridded) climate layers from point source observations. Especially for this purpose of climate spatialization, SAGA is equipped with numerous tools and modules, ranging from interpolation methods (local, global and regression based regionalization) to complex surface parameterization techniques, supporting both, statistical and numerical climate modeling.

3.3.1 Interpolation methods

Although SAGA provides an almost complete collection of local and global interpolation techniques, comprising of deterministic (Inverse Distance Weighted, Local Polynomial, Radial Basis Functions), and in particular geostatistical Kriging methods and its derivatives (e.g. ordinary, universal, regression Kriging), interpolation techniques have rarely been used as a standalone method in climate spatialization (Fader et al., 2012). Instead, these methods were frequently combined with more complex statistical or numerical climate modeling approaches, using e.g. ordinary Kriging, thin-plate spline or inverse distance weighted for the interpolation of model residues, in order to obtain a correction layer for the adjustment of modeling results (Böhner, 2006, 2005, 2004; Gerlitz et al., 2014b; Kessler et al., 2007; Soria-Auza et al., 2010; Weinzierl et al., 2013).

3.3.2 Regression based regionalization

In topographically structured terrain and especially in high mountain environments, where the often low density and non-representative distribution of met-stations leads to unsatisfying interpolation results, statistical methods utilizing explanatory fields (e.g. DEM elevation and its first and second order partial derivatives) as statistical predictors are distinctly preferred in climate spatialization. In order to achieve a proper estimation of the deterministic, topographically determined component of climate variations, SAGA provides a set of DEM-parameters, explicitly constructed to represent orographically induced topoclimatic effects. A comprehensive explanation and justification of DEM-based measures for the parameterization of prominent topoclimatic phenomena, such as the anisotropic heating and formation of warm zones at slopes, cold air flow and cold air potentials, orographic effects on rainfall variations and wind velocities, and terrain determined alterations of short- and longwave radiation fluxes, is given in Böhner and Antonic (2009). Soria-Auza et al. (2010) conducted a systematic evaluation of SAGA based climate spatialization results in comparison with Worldclim data (Hijmans

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Gerlitz (2014). Daily resolution values of climate variables for Baden-Württemberg on a 50 m × 50 m grid were derived by a SAGA based downscaling approach, refining Regional Climate Model (RCM) simulations of present-day climates and IPCC A1B and A2 climate scenarios, supporting the quantification of climate change effects on forest site index for major tree species (Nothdurft et al., 2012).

Climate layers performed with SAGA, however, are seldom stand-alone results but mostly considered as basic predictor variables or driving forcings for further environmental analysis and modeling. Applications range from paleoclimate reconstructions (Aichner et al., 2010; Böhner and Lehmkuhl, 2005; Herzsuh et al., 2011, 2010; Wang et al., 2014) over environmental resource assessment and regionalization (Böhner and Kickner, 2006; Böhner and Langkamp, 2010; Kessler et al., 2007; Klinge et al., 2003; Lehmkuhl et al., 2003; Mieke et al., 2014; Soria-Auza, 2010) to climate impact assessment and soil erosion modeling (Böhner, 2004; Böhner et al., 2003; Böhner and Köthe, 2003; Conrad et al., 2006).

3.4 Remote sensing and image processing

SAGA offers a large number of scientific methods and tools for multispectral, hyperspectral and thermal remote sensing, including geometrical preprocessing and spectral filtering techniques, multispectral and Fourier-transformations, supervised and unsupervised classification algorithms, change detection, as well as segmentation methods for object oriented image analysis (Blaschke, 2010). The filtering techniques include standard linear bandpass-filters (Gaussian, Laplacian, user defined), nonlinear filters (majority, rank, and the morphological filters dilation, erosion, opening, closing, morphological gradient, top hat, and black hat). Besides different vegetation indices, tasseled cap transformation, sharpening techniques for multi-sensor data and principal component analysis for feature reduction are implemented. Available classifiers comprise k-means (unsupervised), binary encoding, parallelepiped, minimum and Mahalanobis distance, maximum likelihood, spectral angle mapper, decision trees, random forest, support vector machines, artificial neural networks, as well as ensemble based

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



classifiers while region growing and watershed algorithms are available for image segmentation. Besides, some tools are available for the processing of data from specific sensors, like calibration to reflectance and generation of cloud masks for Landsat data. Further, SAGA offers great possibilities to process LIDAR point data (cf. Sect. 3.4).

The code of the remote sensing and image processing modules is partly based on free libraries and open source software including OpenCV (Open Source Computer Vision, <http://opencv.org/>), ViGrA (Vision with Generic Algorithms, Köthe, 2000), LIBSVM (Chang and Lin, 2011) and GRASS GIS (Geographical Resources Analysis Support System) (Neteler et al., 2012).

A number of remote sensing based studies using SAGA have been published in different fields. In forestry, multispectral remote sensing data from different times and sensors and fragmentation models were used to examine deforestation and fragmentation of peat swamp forest covers in Malaysia (Kamlisa et al., 2012; Phua et al., 2008). Bechtel et al. (2008) applied different segmentation algorithms to identify individual tree crowns in very high resolution imagery. Further, a new vegetation map of the Central Namib was produced by a supervised classification of remote sensing data from MODIS and ETM + 7 in combination with other environmental parameters such as climatic and topographic data by Jürgens (2013). In glaciology, SAGA remote sensing tools were used for the integration of different terrain datasets into a combined topographical and multispectral classification of rock glaciers (Brenning, 2009) to analyze the decrease in glacier cover in Kazakhstan (Bolch, 2007, 2006) and to detect flow structures in IKONOS imagery (Brenning et al., 2012). Recently, several remote sensing studies successfully applied SAGA to generate surface parameters for local scale climatic mapping and analysis in urban areas. In Bechtel et al. (2011) digital height models from interferometric synthetic aperture radar data were established to derive roughness parameters and anemometric characteristics of urban surfaces while the thermal properties were investigated regarding the annual cycles of surface temperatures (Bechtel, 2012, 2011a). Further, the urban surface parameters were implemented for urban climatic modeling applications (Bechtel et al., 2012b; Bechtel and

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Schmidt, 2011) and the classification of local climate zones (Bechtel et al., 2012a; Bechtel, 2011b; Bechtel and Daneke, 2012). Additionally, SAGA was utilized to develop downscaling-schemes for land surface temperature from geostationary satellites to spatial resolutions of up to 100 m (Bechtel et al., 2012c, 2013) and to estimate in situ air temperatures (Bechtel et al., 2015).

The image processing capacities also rouse interest in other disciplines. Asmussen (2014) developed a workflow for petrographic thin section images, which comprises the delineation of rock forming minerals and data acquisition of various fabric parameters. The method is based on SAGA's seeded simple region growing algorithm to obtain flexible and precise object detection for any occurring mineral type in weathered sub-arkose sandstone material, and benefits from a reproducible and transparent GIS database.

3.5 Miscellaneous

Besides its core applications, such as terrain analysis, geomorphometry, soil mapping and climate spatialization, SAGA has been used in numerous studies of very different fields and wide scope. One physical geographic sub-discipline, which should be outlined separately due to a series of recent publications, is bio- resp. vegetation-geography. Marini et al. (2007) e.g. studied the influence of local environmental factors on plant species richness in the Alps. Different terrain attributes like elevation or slope were tested in statistical models besides other climatic or nutrient parameters, in order to explain biodiversity patterns on different alpine meadows. A similar approach was carried out by Marini et al. (2009) with focus on the impact of farm size and terrain attributes on insect and plant diversity of managed grasslands in the Alps. Another example of a bio-geographic relevant application can be found in Vanselow and Samimi (2011), who preprocessed a DEM by means of the fill sinks method and afterwards derived terrain attributes, e.g. altitude above channel network and slope, in order to designate potential future pastures in Tajikistan. Other environmental studies comprise Heinrich and Conrad (2008), who applied a cellular automate approach on testing the

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



simulation of flow and diffusion dynamics in shallow water bodies, and Czegka and Junge (2008), who used SAGA as a mobile field tool in environmental geochemistry research activities (GPS coupled navigation on lakes, in situ observation monitoring). Liersch and Volk (2008) implemented a metric conceptual rainfall–runoff model including calibration tools within the SAGA framework with the intention of flood risk prediction.

Besides geoscience, GIS products are increasingly utilized in various fields dealing with spatially explicit data. For example in archaeology Bernardini et al. (2013) investigated Airborne LiDAR derived images, in order to detect and to monitor hitherto unknown anthropogenic structures and archaeological sites in the Trieste Karst landscape. Leopold et al. (2011) likewise produced a DEM, based on LiDAR information, and subsequently derived a high resolution cross section with the objective to reveal the location of a former production site of bronze statues at the southern slope of the Acropolis of Athens. The approach was compared to other methods of demarcating prehistoric surface structures (e.g. magnetometry, electrical resistivity tomography, ground-penetration radar) and proved to be suitable as an additional archaeological reconstruction tool. Kaye (2010) utilized SAGA based terrain analysis, in particular the catchment water balance methodology and the implemented hydrological tools. By means of the GIS based approach, the author reconstructed historical water availability in South England as an important logistic factor for the Roman Army and contributed to finding the location of Boudica’s last battle in AD 60 or 61.

Finally, two very specific examples for the utilization of SAGA should be highlighted, which particularly indicate the wide scope of the software. Different spatial detection techniques of radioactive matter being randomly dispersed on a free area, were tested for the purpose of quantifying dose rates, surface activities, mass concentrations in aerosols and their temporal and spatial distributions (Prouza et al., 2010). Most peculiar, the morphometric investigation of chewing surfaces of animals should be emphasized, which was based on an automated analysis using specific interpolation and segmentation approaches (Czech, 2010).

4 Conclusions and outlook

Since the first public release in 2004, SAGA has very rapidly developed from rather specialized niche software for digital terrain analyses to a mature stage FOSS GIS platform, offering the entire spectrum of geodata analysis, mapping and modeling applications of contemporary GIS software. Right from the beginning, the multiple options of an object-oriented programming environment and the consequently modular organized architecture fostered the development of specific methods often distinctly beyond off-the-shelf GIS products. In the present version 2.1.4, SAGA offers more than 700 modules, much of them reflecting a leading paradigm in the SAGA development: advancements in GIS development are only to be achieved, if the development is closely embedded in research processes. Indeed, in responding on scientific questions and needs, SAGA is not only a tool, which supports research but likewise the outcome, ultimately documented in source codes and scientific publications.

Today SAGA is maintained and enhanced at the Institute of Geography, Section Physical Geography at Hamburg University, however, a fast growing user community and developers all over the world contribute to the evolution by their specific needs and applications. For the near future support for multidimensional raster, e.g. addressing volumes, time series and hyperspectral data, as well as a stronger integration with DBMS like PostgreSQL/PostGIS are envisaged to broaden the application of SAGA. A further challenging field of the coming SAGA development will be the enhancement of tools and methods, supporting a scale-crossing amalgamation of climate and environmental modeling applications. Already today, SAGA enables an assimilation, dynamical representation and statistical downscaling of climate model outputs, required to interlink dynamical climate forcings with process models for case studies and climate impact analyses. By bridging both, spatial scales and scientific disciplines, SAGA responds on the steadily increasing needs for high quality, spatially explicit data and information, ultimately tracing GIS to its roots. Indeed, already in 1965, Michael F. Ducey and Duane F. Marble stated in the probably first written document, which used the term

GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Asmussen, P.: Segmentation and further applications of sandstone thin section images in SAGA GIS, Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, University of Hamburg – Faculty of Earth Sciences – Institute for Geology, Hamburg, 2014.

Bechtel, B.: Multitemporal Landsat data for urban heat island assessment and classification of local climate zones, in: Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2011 Joint, Presented at the Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2011 Joint, Munich, 11–13 April 2011, IEEE, 129–132, doi:10.1109/JURSE.2011.5764736, 2011a.

Bechtel, B.: Multisensorale Fernerkundungsdaten zur mikroklimatischen Beschreibung und Klassifikation urbaner Strukturen, Photogramm. Fernerkun., 2011, 325–338, 2011b.

Bechtel, B.: Robustness of annual cycle parameters to characterize the urban thermal landscapes, IEEE Geosci. Remote S., 9, 876–880, doi:10.1109/LGRS.2012.2185034, 2012.

Bechtel, B. and Daneke, C.: Classification of local climate zones based on multiple Earth observation data, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl., 5, 1191–1202, doi:10.1109/JSTARS.2012.2189873, 2012.

Bechtel, B. and Schmidt, K. J.: Floristic mapping data as a proxy for the mean urban heat island, Clim. Res., 49, 45–58, doi:10.3354/cr01009, 2011.

Bechtel, B., Ringeler, A., and Böhner, J.: Segmentation for object extraction of trees using MATLAB and SAGA, in: SAGA – Seconds Out, Hamburger Beiträge Zur Physischen Geographie Und Landschaftsökologie, Univ. Hamburg, Inst. für Geographie, 1–12, 2008.

Bechtel, B., Langkamp, T., Ament, F., Böhner, J., Daneke, C., Günzkofer, R., Leidl, B., Ossenbrügge, J., and Ringeler, A.: Towards an urban roughness parameterisation using interferometric SAR data taking the Metropolitan Region of Hamburg as an example, Meteorol. Z., 20, 29–37, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2011/0496, 2011.

Bechtel, B., Daneke, C., Langkamp, T., Oßenbrügge, J., and Böhner, J.: Classification of Local Climate Zones from multitemporal remote sensing data, in: Proceedings ICUC8 – 8th International Conference on Urban Climates, Presented at the 8th International Conference on Urban Climates, UCD, Dublin, Ireland, 6–10 August 2012, 2012a.

Bechtel, B., Langkamp, T., Böhner, J., Daneke, C., Oßenbrügge, J., and Schempp, S.: Classification and modelling of urban micro-climates using multisensoral and multitemporal remote sensing data, ISPRS – Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spat. Inf. Sci., XXXIX-B8, 463–468, doi:10.5194/isprsarchives-XXXIX-B8-463-2012, 2012b.

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Bechtel, B., Zakšek, K., and Hoshyaripour, G.: Downscaling land surface temperature in an urban area: a case study for Hamburg, Germany, *Remote Sens.*, 4, 3184–3200, doi:10.3390/rs4103184, 2012c.
- Bechtel, B., Böhner, J., Zakšek, K., and Wiesner, S.: Downscaling of diurnal land surface temperature cycles for urban heat island monitoring, in: *Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2013 Joint*, Presented at the Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2013 Joint, IEEE, 2013.
- Bechtel, B., Wiesner, S. and Zaksek, K.: Estimation of Dense Time Series of Urban Air Temperatures from Multitemporal Geostationary Satellite Data, *IEEE J. Select. Topics Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.*, 7, 4129–4137, doi:10.1109/JSTARS.2014.2322449, 2014.
- Behrens, T. and Scholten, T.: Digital soil mapping in Germany – a review, *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sc.*, 169, 434–443, 2006.
- Bernardini, F., Sgambati, A., Montagnari Kokelj, M., Zaccaria, C., Micheli, R., Fragiacomio, A., Tiussi, C., Dreossi, D., Tuniz, C., and De Min, A.: Airborne LiDAR application to karstic areas: the example of Trieste province (north-eastern Italy) from prehistoric sites to Roman forts, *J. Archaeol. Sci.*, 40, 2152–2160, doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.12.029, 2013.
- Blaschke, T.: Object based image analysis for remote sensing, *ISPRS J. Photogramm.*, 65, 2–16, 2010.
- Bock, M. and Köthe, R.: Predicting the Depth of hydromorphic Soil Characteristics influenced by Ground Water, in: *Hamburger Beiträge zur Physischen Geographie und Landschaftsökologie (SAGA – Seconds Out)*, edited by: Böhner, J., Blaschke, T., and Montanarella, L., Univ. Hamburg, Inst. für Geographie, 13–22, 2008.
- Bock, M., Böhner, J., Conrad, O., Köthe, R., and Ringeler, A.: Methods for creating functional soil databases and applying digital soil mapping with SAGA GIS, in: *Status and Prospect of Soil Information in South-Eastern Europe: Soil Databases, Projects and Applications*, edited by: Hengl, T., Panagos, P., Jones, A., and Toth, G., EUR 22646 EN Scientific and Technical Research series, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 149–162, 2007.
- Bock, M., Günther, A., Ringeler, A., Baritz, R., and Böhner, J.: Assessment of soil parent material formation in periglacial environments through medium scale landscape evolution modelling, in: *EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts*, Vienna, Austria, 22–27 April 2012, p. 8796, 2012.

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Boettinger, J. L.: Digital Soil Mapping: Bridging Research, Environmental Application, and Operation, Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- Böhner, J.: Regionalisierung bodenrelevanter Klimaparameter für das Niedersächsische Landesamt für Bodenforschung (NLFb) und die Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe (BGR), Arbeitshefte Boden, 4, 17–66, 2004.
- 5 Böhner, J.: Advancements and new approaches in climate spatial prediction and environmental modelling, Arbeitsberichte Geogr. Inst. HU Zu Berl., 109, 49–90, 2005.
- Böhner, J.: General climatic controls and topoclimatic variations in Central and High Asia, Boreas, 35, 279–295, 2006.
- 10 Böhner, J. and Antonic, O.: Land surface parameters specific to topo-climatology, in: Geomorphometry: Concepts, Software, Applications, 2009.
- Böhner, J. and Kickner, S.: Woher der Wind weht, GeoBit, 5, 22–25, 2006.
- Böhner, J. and Köthe, R.: Bodenregionalisierung und Prozeßmodellierung: Instrumente für den Bodenschutz, Petermann. Geogr. Mitt., 147, 72–82, 2003.
- 15 Böhner, J. and Langkamp, T.: Klimawandel und Landschaft – Regionalisierung, Rekonstruktion und Projektion des Klima- und Landschaftswandels Zentral- und Hochasiens, Hambg. Symp. Geogr., 2, 27–49, 2010.
- Böhner, J. and Lehmkuhl, F.: Climate and environmental change modelling in Central and High Asia, Boreas, 34, 220–231, 2005.
- 20 Böhner, J. and Selige, T.: Spatial prediction of soil attributes using terrain analysis and climate regionalisation, in: SAGA – Analysis and Modelling Applications, Göttinger Geographische Abhandlungen, Göttingen, 13–28, 2006.
- Böhner, J., Köthe, R., Conrad, O., Gross, J., Ringeler, A., and Selige, T.: Soil regionalisation by means of terrain analysis and process parameterisation, Soil Classif., European Soil Bureau, Research Report 7, 213–222, 2002.
- 25 Böhner, J., Schäfer, W., Conrad, O., Gross, J., and Ringeler, A.: The WEELS model: methods, results and limitations, Catena, 52, 289–308, 2003.
- Böhner, J., Dietrich, H., Fraedrich, K., Kawohl, T., Kilian, M., Lucarini, V., and Lunkeit, F.: Development and implementation of a hierarchical model chain for modelling regional climate variability and climate change over southern Amazonia, in: Interdisciplinary Analysis and Modeling of Carbon-Optimized Land Management Strategies for Southern Amazonia, Uni-
- 30 versitätsdrucke Göttingen, 119–128, 2013.

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Bolch, T.: GIS- und fernerkundungsgestützte Analyse und Visualisierung von Klima- und Gletscheränderungen im nördlichen Tien Shan (Kasachstan/Kyrgyzstan) – mit einem Vergleich zur Bernina-Gruppe/Alpen, Dissertation, Faculty of Sciences of the Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany, 210 pp., 2006.

5 Bolch, T.: Climate change and glacier retreat in northern Tien Shan (Kazakhstan/Kyrgyzstan) using remote sensing data, *Global Planet. Change*, 56, 1–12, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.07.009, 2007.

Bolch, T. and Kamp, U.: Glacier mapping in high mountains using DEMs, Landsat and ASTER Data, *Grazer Schriften Geogr. Raumforsch.*, *Grazer Schriften der Geographie und Raumforschung*, 41, 37–48, 2006.

10 Brenning, A.: Statistical geocomputing combining R and SAGA: the example of landslide susceptibility analysis with generalized additive models, *SAGA–Seconds Hambg. Beitr. Zur Phys. Geogr. Landschaftsökologie*, 19, 23–32, 2008.

Brenning, A.: Benchmarking classifiers to optimally integrate terrain analysis and multispectral remote sensing in automatic rock glacier detection, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 113, 239–247, 2009.

Brenning, A., Long, S., and Fieguth, P.: Detecting rock glacier flow structures using Gabor filters and IKONOS imagery, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 125, 227–237, 2012.

20 Chang, C.-C. and Lin, C.-J.: LIBSVM: a library for support vector machines, *ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol. TIST*, 2, 1–27, 2011.

Conrad, O.: SAGA – Entwurf, Funktionsumfang und Anwendung eines Systems für Automatisierte Geowissenschaftliche Analysen, Dissertation, Faculties Natural Sciences, Mathematics and Informatics (Faculty of Geosciences and Geography), 221 pp., 2007.

25 Conrad, O., Jens-Peter, K., Michael, B., Gerhard, G., and Bohner, J.: Soil degradation risk assessment integrating terrain analysis and soil spatial prediction methods, *GEOOKO-Bensh.*, 27, 165–174, 2006.

Czech, A.: GIS-gestützte morphometrische Analyse von Okklusalfächen mit SAGA GIS, Unpublished B.Sc. thesis, University of Hamburg – Faculty of Earth Sciences – Institute of Geography – Sect. Physical Geography, Hamburg, 2010.

30 Czegka, W. and Junge, F. W.: The use of SAGA as a mobile field-tool in the environmental Geochemistry, in: *SAGA – Seconds Out*, *Hamburger Beiträge Zur Physischen Geographie Und Landschaftsökologie*, Univ. Hamburg, Inst. für Geographie, Hamburg, 33–36, 2008.

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Dietrich, H. and Böhner, J.: Cold air production and flow in a low mountain range landscape in Hessia (Germany), in: SAGA – Seconds Out, Hamburger Beiträge Zur Physischen Geographie Und Landschaftsökologie, Univ. Hamburg, Inst. für Geographie, Hamburg, 37–48, 2008.
- 5 Enea, A., Romanescu, G., and Stoleriu, C.: Quantitative considerations concerning the source-areas for the silting of the Red Lake (Romania) Lacustrine Basin, in: Water resources and wetlands, Conference Proceedings, 14–16, Tulcea – Romania, 2012.
- Fader, M., Böhner, J., and Gerold, G.: Precipitation variability and landscape degradation in Rio Negro (Argentina), *Geo-Öko*, 33, 5–33, 2012.
- 10 Fey, C., Zangerl, C., Wichmann, V., and Prager, C.: Back-Calculation of Medium-Scale Rock-falls Using an Empirical GIS Model, *Int. Symp. Rock Slope Stab. Open Pit Min. Civ. Eng., Vancouver, Canada*, 2011.
- Gerlitz, L.: Using fuzzified regression trees for statistical downscaling and regionalization of near surface temperatures in complex terrain, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 118, 1–16, 2014.
- 15 Gerlitz, L., Conrad, O., and Böhner, J.: Large scale atmospheric forcing and topographic modification of precipitation rates over High Asia – a neural network based approach, *Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss.*, 5, 1275–1317, doi:10.5194/esdd-5-1275-2014, 2014a.
- Gerlitz, L., Conrad, O., Thomas, A., and Böhner, J.: Assessment of warming patterns for the Tibetan Plateau and its adjacent lowlands based on an elevation- and bias corrected ERA-Interim data set, *Clim. Res.*, 58, 235–246, doi:10.3354/cr01193, 2014b.
- 20 Goetz, J. N., Guthrie, R. H., and Brenning, A.: Integrating physical and empirical landslide susceptibility models using generalized additive models, *Geomorphology*, 129, 376–386, 2011.
- Grabs, T. J., Jencso, K. G., McGlynn, B. L., and Seibert, J.: Calculating terrain indices along streams: a new method for separating stream sides, *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W12536, doi:10.1029/2010WR009296, 2010.
- 25 Günther, A.: SLOPEMAP: programs for automated mapping of geometrical and kinematical properties of hard rock hill slopes, *Comput. Geosci.*, 29, 865–875, 2003.
- Günther, A., Carstensen, A., and Pohl, W.: Automated sliding susceptibility mapping of rock slopes, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 4, 95–102, doi:10.5194/nhess-4-95-2004, 2004.
- 30 Haas, F.: Fluviale Hangprozesse in alpinen Einzugsgebieten der nördlichen Kalkalpen: Quantifizierung und Modellierungsansätze, *Eichstätter Geographische Arbeiten*, Profil-Verlag, München, Wien, 2008.

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Herzschuh, U., Ni, J., Birks, H. J. B., and Böhner, J.: Driving forces of mid-Holocene vegetation shifts on the upper Tibetan Plateau, with emphasis on changes in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 30, 1907–1917, 2011.
- Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G., and Jarvis, A.: Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 25, 1965–1978, 2005.
- Jansen, P.: Flächenhafte Berechnung der Hangkinematik und Stabilitätsmodellierung im Bereich des Göttinger Waldes Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, University of Hamburg – Faculty of Earth Sciences – Institute for Geology, Hamburg, 2014.
- Jürgens, N., Oldeland, J., Hachfeld, B., Erb, E., and Schultz, C.: Ecology and spatial patterns of large-scale vegetation units within the central Namib Desert, *J. Arid Environ.*, 93, 59–79, doi:10.1016/j.jaridenv.2012.09.009, 2013.
- Kamlisa, U. K., Goh, M. H., Teo, S., Tsuyuki, S., and Phua, M.-H.: Monitoring of Deforestation and Fragmentation in Sarawak, Malaysia between 1990 and 2009 using Landsat and SPOT Images, *J. For. Sci.*, 28, 152–157, 2012.
- Kaye, S.: Can computerised terrain analysis find Boudica's last battlefield?, *British Archaeology* Sept/Oct 2010, 30–33, 2010.
- Kessler, M., Böhner, J., and Kluge, J.: Modelling tree height to assess climatic conditions at tree lines in the Bolivian Andes, *Ecol. Model.*, 207, 223–233, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.05.001, 2007.
- Kidd, D. and Viscarra Rossel, R.: ACLEP-Tasmanian Digital Soil Mapping Project – a component of the Wealth from Water Land Suitability Project, available at: http://www.clw.csiro.au/aclep/documents/TAS_ACLEP_DSM_Project_Report.pdf, 2011.
- Klinge, M., Böhner, J., and Lehmkühl, F.: Climate patterns, snow- and timberline in the Altai Mountains, Central Asia, *Erdkunde*, 57, 296–308, 2003.
- Klinge, M., Böhner, J., and Erasmi, S.: Modelling forest lines and forest distribution patterns with remote sensing data in a mountainous region of semi-arid Central Asia, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 11, 14667–14698, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-14667-2014, 2014.
- Köthe, R. and Bock, M.: Preprocessing of Digital Elevation Models – derived from Laser Scanning and Radar Interferometry – for Terrain Analysis in Geosciences, *Proc. Geomorphometry 2009, Proceedings of Geomorphometry*, 155–161, 2009.
- Köthe, R., Gehrt, E., and Böhner, J.: Automatische Reliefanalyse für geowissenschaftliche Kartierungen, *Arbeitshefte Boden*, 1, 31–37, 1996.

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Milevski, I.: Estimation of soil erosion risk in the upper part of Bregalnica watershed – Republic of Macedonia, based on digital elevation model and satellite imagery, Proceedings from the 5th International conference on geographic information systems, Fatih University, Istanbul, 2008.
- 5 Milevski, I., Dragicevic, S., and Kostadinov, S.: Digital elevation model and satellite images an assessment of soil erosion potential in the Pcinja catchment, Glas. Srp. Geogr. Drustva, 87, 11–20, doi:10.2298/GSGD0702011M, 2007.
- Morche, D., Haas, F., Baewert, H., Heckmann, T., Schmidt, K.-H., and Becht, M.: Sediment transport in the proglacial Fagge River (Kauernatal/Austria), Eros. Sediment Yields Chang. Environ. IAHS Press Wallingford IAHS Publ., 356, 72–81, 2012.
- 10 Muenchow, J., Brenning, A., and Richter, M.: Geomorphic process rates of landslides along a humidity gradient in the tropical Andes, Geomorphology, 139–140, 271–284, 2012.
- National Research Council (Ed.): Basic research opportunities in earth science. Committee on Basic Research Opportunities in the Earth Sciences. Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, 154 pp., 2001.
- 15 Neteler, M., Bowman, M. H., Landa, M., and Metz, M.: GRASS GIS: a multi-purpose open source GIS, Environ. Modell. Softw., 31, 124–130, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.11.014, 2012.
- Nothdurft, A., Wolf, T., Ringeler, A., Böhner, J., and Saborowski, J.: Spatio-temporal prediction of site index based on forest inventories and climate change scenarios, Forest Ecol. Manag., 279, 97–111, 2012.
- 20 Olaya, V. and Conrad, O.: Chapter 12 geomorphometry in SAGA, in: Developments in Soil Sciences, edited by: Hengl, T. and Reuter, H. I., Elsevier, 293–308, 2009.
- Patriche, C. V., Pîrnău, R. G., Roșca, B., and Stoica, D. L.: Assessment of soil erosion and its impact on humus spatial and temporal dynamics, Bull. Univ. Agric. Sci., 69, 185–194, 2012.
- 25 Peters-Walker, D., Krause, K.-H., Strellen, T., Kleindienst, H., Petrini-Monteferrri, F., and Wichmann, V.: Modellierung von Abfluss und Geschiebetransport in alpinen Wildbacheinzugsgebieten mit SimAlp/HQsim, Wildbach-Lawinverbau, 76, 154–166, 2012.
- Petrini-Montferri, F., Wichmann, V., Georges, C., Mantovani, D., and Stötter, J.: Erweiterung der GIS Software SAGA zur Verarbeitung von Laserscanning-Daten der Autonomen Provinz Bozen – Südtirol, in: Angewandte Geoinformatik 2009, edited by: Strobl, J., Blaschke, T., and Griesebner, G., 618–623, Wichmann Verlag, Heidelberg, 2009.
- 30 Phua, M.-H., Conrad, O., Kamlun, K. U., Fischer, M., and Böhner, J.: Multitemporal fragmentation analysis of peat swamp forest in the Klias Peninsula, Sabah, Malaysia using GIS and

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



remote sensing techniques, in: SAGA – Seconds Out, Hamburger Beiträge Zur Physischen Geographie Und Landschaftsökologie, Univ. Hamburg, Inst. für Geographie, Hamburg, 81–90, 2008.

Prouza, Z., Beckova, V., Cespirova, I., Helebrant, J., Hulka, J., Kuca, P., Michalek, V., Rulik, P., Skrkal, J., and Hovorka, J.: Field tests using radioactive matter, *Radiat. Prot. Dosim.*, 139, 519–531, doi:10.1093/rpd/ncp299, 2010.

QGIS Development Team: QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project, 2014.

Rieg, L., Wichmann, V., Rutzinger, M., Sailer, R., Geist, T., and Stötter, J.: Data infrastructure for multitemporal airborne LiDAR point cloud analysis – examples from physical geography in high mountain environments, *Comput. Environ. Urban*, 45, 137–146, 2014.

Roecker, S. M., Howell, D. W., Haydu-Houdeshell, C. A., and Blinn, C.: A qualitative comparison of conventional soil survey and digital soil mapping approaches, in: *Digital Soil Mapping, Progress in Soil Science*, edited by: Boettinger, D. J. L., Howell, D. W., Moore, A. C., Hartemink, P. D. A. E., and Kienast-Brown, S., Springer Netherlands, 369–384, 2010.

Russ, A. and Riek, W.: Methode zur Ableitung des Grundwasserflurabstandes aus Karten der forstlichen Standortkartierung und digitalen Geländemodellen in Brandenburg, in: *Waldökologie, Landschaftsforschung und Naturschutz*, 12, 85–92, 2011.

Sass, O., Haas, F., Schimmer, C., Heel, M., Bremer, M., Stöger, F., and Wetzel, K.-F.: Impact of forest fires on geomorphic processes in the Tyrolean Limestone Alps, *Geogr. Ann. A*, 94, 117–133, doi:10.1111/j.1468-0459.2012.00452.x, 2012.

Schauppenlehner, T.: Geostatistische Analysen zur Integration von Geländemodellen und Bodenschätzungsdaten für verbesserte digitale Bodenkarten am Beispiel einer alluvialen Landschaft, Dissertation, University of natural resources and life sciences, 133 pp., Vienna, 2008.

Setiawan, M. A.: Integrated Soil Erosion Risk Management in the upper Serayu Watershed, Wonosobo District, Central Java Province, Indonesia, Dissertation, Faculty of Geo- and Atmospheric Sciences of the University of Innsbruck, Austria, 237 pp., 2012.

Smart, J., Hock, K., and Csomor, S.: *Cross-Platform GUI Programming with wxWidgets*, Prentice Hall Professional, 2005.

Soria-Auza, R. W.: Diversität und Biogeographie der Farne und Vögel Boliviens: Niche Modellierung GIS Applicationen, Ph.D. thesis, 2010.

Soria-Auza, R. W., Kessler, M., Bach, K., Barajas-Barbosa, P. M., Lehnert, M., Herzog, S. K., and Böhner, J.: Impact of the quality of climate models for modelling species occurrences

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



in countries with poor climatic documentation: a case study from Bolivia, *Ecol. Model.*, 221, 1221–1229, 2010.

Stötter, J. and Sailer, R.: Permafrost in Südtirol, *Innsbrucker Geographische Studien*, 2012.

Stroustrup, B.: *Programming: Principles and Practice Using C++*, Pearson Education, 2014.

5 Vanselow, K. and Samimi, C.: GIS und statistische Modelle im Weidemanagement: Ein Beispiel anhand der Hochgebirgsweiden im Ostpamir (Tadschikistan), *Angew. Geoinformatik*, 23, 625–634, 2011.

10 Varga, K., Becht, M., and Damm, B.: Ansätze der GIS-gestützten räumlichen Modellierung von Rutschgefahren in Buntsandsteingebieten Nordhessens und Südniedersachsens (BRD), in: *Angewandte Geoinformatik 2006*, edited by: Strobl, J., Blaschke, T., and Griesebner, G., 679–684, Wichmann Verlag, Heidelberg, 2006.

15 Wang, Y., Herzs Schuh, U., Shumilovskikh, L. S., Mischke, S., Birks, H. J. B., Wischnewski, J., Böhner, J., Schlütz, F., Lehmkuhl, F., Diekmann, B., Wünnemann, B., and Zhang, C.: Quantitative reconstruction of precipitation changes on the NE Tibetan Plateau since the Last Glacial Maximum – extending the concept of pollen source area to pollen-based climate reconstructions from large lakes, *Clim. Past*, 10, 21–39, doi:10.5194/cp-10-21-2014, 2014.

Wehberg, J., Bock, M., Weinzierl, T., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., Stellmes, M., and Landschreiber, L.: Terrain-based landscape structure classification in relation to remote sensing products and soil data for the Okavango Catchment, *Biodivers. Ecol.*, 5, 221–233, 2013.

20 Weinzierl, T., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., and Wehberg, J.: Regionalization of baseline climatologies and time series for the Okavango Catchment, *Biodivers. Ecol.*, 5, 235–245, 2013.

25 Wichmann, V.: Modellierung geomorphologischer Prozesse in einem alpinen Einzugsgebiet – Abgrenzung und Klassifizierung der Wirkungsräume von Sturzprozessen und Muren mit einem GIS, *Eichstätter Geographische Arbeiten*, 15, Profil-Verlag, Munich/Vienna, 231 pp., 2006.

Wichmann, V. and Becht, M.: Spatial modelling of debris flows in an alpine drainage basin, *IAHS Publ.* 288, 370–376, 2004.

30 Wichmann, V. and Becht, M.: Modelling Of geomorphic processes in an Alpine Catchment, in: *GeoDynamics*, edited by: Atkinson, P. M., Foody, G. M., Darby, S. E., and Wu, F., 151–167, CRC Press, 2004.

Wichmann, V. and Becht, M.: Rockfall modelling: methods and model application in an alpine basin (Reintal, Germany), in: *SAGA – Analysis and Modelling Applications*, Göttinger Geographische Abhandlungen, Göttingen, 105–116, 129–130, 2006.

GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Wichmann, V., Rutzinger, M., and Vetter, M.: Digital terrain model generation from airborne laser scanning point data and the effect of grid-cell size on the simulation results of a debris flow model, in: Hamburger Beiträge zur Physischen Geographie und Landschaftsökologie (SAGA - Seconds Out), edited by: Böhner, J., Blaschke, T., and Montanarella, L., Hamburger Beiträge zur Physischen Geographie und Landschaftsökologie, Univ. Hamburg, Inst. für Geographie, 103–113, 2008.
- Willer, J., Baritz, R., Eberhardt, E., Milbert, G., and Jahn, R.: Projekt SIAM – Entwicklung eines Boden-Landschaftsmodells zur Datenharmonisierung und Qualitätssicherung für Bodenübersichtskarten [WWW Document], available at: <http://eprints.dbges.de/477/> (last accessed: 12 February 2013), 2009.
- Wilson, J. P. and Gallant, J. C.: Terrain Analysis: Principles and Applications, John Wiley & Sons, 2000.
- Wischmeier, W. H. and Smith, D. D.: Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses – a Guide to Conservation Planning, Agriculture Handbook 537, 1978.




Figure 1. Total downloads by Country (2004–2014, source: SourceForge.net, 2014).

GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#) [Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#) [References](#)

[Tables](#) [Figures](#)

[⏪](#) [⏩](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[Back](#) [Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.




Figure 2. Left: average monthly downloads per year (source: SourceForge.net 2014); Right: number of tools between 2005 (v1.2) and 2014 (v2.1.4).

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	






Figure 3. System architecture.

GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.

- [Title Page](#)
- [Abstract](#) [Introduction](#)
- [Conclusions](#) [References](#)
- [Tables](#) [Figures](#)
- [⏪](#) [⏩](#)
- [◀](#) [▶](#)
- [Back](#) [Close](#)
- [Full Screen / Esc](#)
- [Printer-friendly Version](#)
- [Interactive Discussion](#)



GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.




Figure 4. Data object hierarchy.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



GMDD

8, 2271–2312, 2015

System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4

O. Conrad et al.




Figure 5. Graphical user interface.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	